

## ISE A1 Task 3 Reading into writing rating scale

Score	Reading for writing  Understanding of source materials Selection of relevant content from three source texts Use of source texts' content to suit the purpose for writing	Task fulfilment  Overall achievement of communicative aim Adequacy of topic coverage
3 (Top of level)	<ul> <li>Good understanding of most of the task-related source material</li> <li>An appropriate and accurate selection of relevant content from the source texts</li> <li>Good use of content from source texts to fulfil the communicative purpose of the task</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Good achievement of the communicative aim; all content points addressed</li> <li>The text is easily read and understood by the reader</li> <li>All requirements of the task, (ie topic, purpose, number of sentences) satisfied with no omissions or irrelevance</li> </ul>
2 (At level)	<ul> <li>Good understanding of over half task-related source materials</li> <li>An acceptable selection of relevant content from the source texts</li> <li>Acceptable use of source texts' content, with a few disconnected ideas, to suit the purpose for writing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Acceptable achievement of the communicative aim; most content points addressed</li> <li>The text can be understood by the reader</li> <li>Most requirements of the instruction appropriately met with few omissions and irrelevance</li> </ul>
1 (Below level)	<ul> <li>Inaccurate and limited understanding of most source materials</li> <li>Inadequate and inaccurate selection of relevant content from the source texts (ie most of the selected information irrelevant)</li> <li>Does not use the source texts' content to address the purpose for writing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Poor achievement of the communicative aim (ie difficult to follow for reader)</li> <li>Most requirements (ie topic, purpose and number of words) of the instruction are not met</li> </ul>
0	<ul><li>Task not attempted</li><li>Paper void</li><li>No performance to evaluate</li></ul>	

Score	Organisation and structure Grouping of information Use of very simple connectors	Language control  Range and accuracy of grammar  Range and accuracy of lexis  Effect of linguistic errors on understanding  Control of very simple punctuation and spelling
3 (Top of level)	<ul> <li>■ Good use of short, simple sentences or phrases</li> <li>■ Information is grouped logically</li> <li>■ Appropriate use of simple connectors eg 'and', 'then'</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A range of simple grammatical items sufficient to the task relating to familiar everyday situations used with good level of accuracy (may contain basic systematic errors)</li> <li>A range of lexical items sufficient to the task relating to familiar everyday situations used with good level of accuracy</li> <li>Any errors do not impede understanding</li> <li>Good spelling (with phonetic accuracy) and punctuation</li> </ul>
2 (At level)	<ul> <li>Some use of short, simple sentences or phrases</li> <li>Most ideas are grouped logically (some may be out of place)</li> <li>Acceptable use of simple connectors eg 'and'</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Acceptable level of grammatical accuracy sufficient to the task         <ul> <li>although range may be restricted (contain basic systematic errors)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Acceptable level of lexical accuracy sufficient to the task – although range may be restricted</li> <li>Errors sometimes impede understanding but the overall message is clear</li> <li>Acceptable spelling (with phonetic accuracy) and punctuation</li> </ul>
1 (Below level)	<ul><li>Most ideas are disconnected or out of place</li><li>Difficult to follow</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Inadequate evidence of grammatical and lexical range and accuracy</li> <li>Errors frequently impede understanding</li> <li>Poor spelling and punctuation throughout, causing difficulty for reader</li> </ul>
0	<ul><li>Task not attempted</li><li>Paper void</li><li>No performance to evaluate</li></ul>	